

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/811,595	03/29/2004	Martin K. Gustafson	PC-1696	2671	
23717 1 AW OFFICE	7590 01/03/2007 S OF BRIAN S STEINBEI	EXAMINER			
LAW OFFICES OF BRIAN S STEINBERGER 101 BREVARD AVENUE			GRAYSAY, TAMARA·L		
COCOA, FL 3	2922		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3636		
			<u> </u>		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
	"		01/03/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/811,595	GUSTAFSON ET A	GUSTAFSON ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
Tamara L. Graysay	3636		

,	Talliaia L. Olaysay	3030	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED 24 Nov & 04 Dec 2006 FAILS TO PLACE T	HIS APPLICATION IN CONDIT	ION FOR ALLOWANCE	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma	iling date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply of than three months after the mailing	int of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must	be filed within two mont	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	out prior to the date of filing a br	ief, will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	w);	•	
<ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet  appeal; and/or</li></ul>			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·		
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	·	•	•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	⊠ will not be entered, or b) ☐ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an o	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,8-11,20-30; as per the final reject</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	ion mailed 06 Nov 2006.		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the applicatio	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		Attachment: Notice of Ref's Cite	ed (PTO-892)

Art Unit: 3636

Continuation of Advisory Action

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The claims have been amended such that their scope has changed, i.e., they require further consideration and/or search. For example, each independent claim (1 and 23) adds limitations including, but not limited to a second multi-stage filter system, which were not included in the previously presented claims.

In response to the arguments, the points discussed are directed to proposed claims that have not been entered. The request for interview is <u>denied</u> because the application is under final rejection, one interview after final has already been held (24 November 2006), and the intended purpose and content of the interview has not been presented.

> Comment(s) Regarding 24 November 2006 Letter

The request to restart the period for response set in the final Office action mailed 06 November 2006 is denied because the document inadvertently omitted from the Notice of References Cited was cited by name and document number (Teagle, US-6402613) in the detailed portion of the Office action in a manner that enabled applicant fully consider the reference as applied in the final Office action. Further, a reply to the final Office action was filed 04 December 2006. Thus, no harm resulted from the inadvertent omission of the Teagle reference from the Notice of References Cited attached to the final Office action.

A Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) listing the omitted reference is attached hereto.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tamara L. Graysay whose telephone number is 571-272-6728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Cuomo, can be reached on 571-272-6856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tamara L. Graysa

Examiner Art Unit 3636

20061228

BEST AVAILABLE COPY